JS 44 (Rev. 06/17)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS Krystal Pride				DEFENDANTS City of Philadelphia					
437 West St. Luke Street				3901 Whitaker Avenue					
Philadelphia, PA 19140 (b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Philadelphia				Philadelphia, PA 19124 County of Residence of First Listed Defendant			50 P		
National Company of the Company of t	XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF C.			County of Residence		ed Defendant LAINTIFF CASES (Philadelphia	1	
	10			NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.					
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Namber) Graham F. Baird, Law Offices of Eric A. Shore				Attorneys (If Known)			2 2 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		
2 Penn Center, 1500 JFK Blvd, Suite 1240, Philadelphia, PA 1910 Tel: 267-546-0131			2				<i>i</i> .		
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	III. CI	TIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPA	L PARTIES	(Place an "X" in	One Box j	for Plaintif		
☐ 1 U.S. Government	≱ 3 Federal Question		(For Diversity Cases Only)	TF DEF		and One Box fo		
Plaintiff	(U.S. Government	Not a Party)	Citize		11 0 1	Incorporated or Proof Business In		O 4	O 4
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizensh	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citize	n of Another State	1 2 🗇 2	Incorporated and of Business In		5	5
1				n or Subject of a eign Country	3 🗆 3	Foreign Nation		□ 6	□ 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		nly) DRTS	LEO	Click here for: Nature of Suit Code Descriptions. FORFEITURE/PENALTY BANKRUPTCY OTHER STATUTES					
☐ 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJURY		FEITURE/PENALTY Drug Related Seizure		al 28 USC 158	☐ 375 False Cl		ES
☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act	☐ 310 Airplane ☐ 315 Airplane Product	☐ 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability	1/4	of Property 21 USC 881	☐ 423 Witho	irawal	☐ 376 Qui Tan	(31 USC	
☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument	Liability	☐ 367 Health Care/	L 090	Oller		SC 157	3729(a)) ☐ 400 State Reapportionment		
150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment	☐ 320 Assault, Libel & Slander	Pharmaceutical Personal Injury	- 1		PROPER ☐ 820 Copy	TY RIGHTS	☐ 410 Antitrus ☐ 430 Banks ar		O
☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted	☐ 330 Federal Employers' Liability	Product Liability ☐ 368 Asbestos Personal	- 8		☐ 830 Paten	t	☐ 450 Commer	ce	5
Student Loans	☐ 340 Marine	Injury Product				t - Abbreviated Drug Application	☐ 460 Deportat ☐ 470 Racketed		ed and
(Excludes Veterans) ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment	☐ 345 Marine Product Liability	Liability PERSONAL PROPERT	ry	LABOR	☐ 840 Trade	mark SECURITY		Organizati	
of Veteran's Benefits	☐ 350 Motor Vehicle	☐ 370 Other Fraud		Fair Labor Standards	☐ 861 HIA (1395ff)	☐ 490 Cable/Sa	at TV	
☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract	☐ 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability	☐ 371 Truth in Lending ☐ 380 Other Personal	D 720	Act Labor/Management	☐ 862 Black ☐ 863 DIW	Lung (923) C/DIWW (405(g))	☐ 850 Securitie Exchang		dities/
☐ 195 Contract Product Liability ☐ 196 Franchise	360 Other Personal Injury	Property Damage 385 Property Damage		Relations	☐ 864 SSID	Title XVI	☐ 890 Other St	atutory Ac	ctions
D 170 Hunemac	☐ 362 Personal Injury -	Product Liability		Railway Labor Act Family and Medical	□ 865 RSI (4	105(g))	☐ 891 Agricults ☐ 893 Environn		ters
REAL PROPERTY	Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITIONS	S 790	Leave Act Other Labor Litigation	FEDERA	L TAX SUITS	☐ 895 Freedom Act	of Inform	nation
210 Land Condemnation		Habeas Corpus:		Employee Retirement		(U.S. Plaintiff	☐ 896 Arbitrati		
☐ 220 Foreclosure ☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	☐ 441 Voting ☐ 442 Employment	☐ 463 Alien Detainee ☐ 510 Motions to Vacate	1	Income Security Act	or De	fendant)	☐ 899 Adminis	trative Pro	
☐ 240 Torts to Land ☐ 245 Tort Product Liability	443 Housing/	Sentence				SC 7609	Agency I	Decision	
290 All Other Real Property	Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities -	☐ 530 General ☐ 535 Death Penalty	1231 11 (8)	IMMIGRATION	1		950 Constitut State State		f
	Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities -	Other: 540 Mandamus & Other	☐ 462 ☐ 465	Naturalization Application Other Immigration					
	Other 448 Education	☐ 550 Civil Rights ☐ 555 Prison Condition	403	Actions					
		560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of							
V ODICIN		Confinement							
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in X 1 Original □ 2 Rer		Remanded from	4 n.:		0.00				. 2
	te Court	Appellate Court	4 Reins Reope	ened Anothe (specify)	r District	☐ 6 Multidistr Litigation Transfer	l= 1	Multidist Litigation Direct Fil	n -
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	1/2119 CA \$ 109	tute under which you are 33 et seq	filing (De	o not cite jurisdictional stat	utes unless div	ersity):	i. F		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIC	Brief description of ca								
VII. REQUESTED IN	_		DE	MAND S	CI	HECK VES only	if demanded in	complair	nt:
VII. REQUESTED IN ☐ CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION DEMAND S COMPLAINT: UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P. 150,000.00 JURY DEMAND: ▼ Yes ☐ No						11.			
VIII. RELATED CASE									
IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE	303		DOCKET	NUMBER			
7/31/17 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		SIGNATURIOF	OKNEY OF	RECORD	8				
	IOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE		MAG. JUD	OGE		

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

Krystal Prid v. City of Priladel	· e :	CIVIL ACTI	ON				
v.							
City of Philadel	phia :	NO.					
In accordance with the Civil Justice Expense and Delay Reduction Fian of this court, counsel for plaintiff shall complete a Case Management Track Designation Form in all civil cases at the time of filing the complaint and serve a copy on all defendants. (See § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the reverse side of this form.) In the event that a defendant does not agree with the plaintiff regarding said designation, that defendant shall, with its first appearance, submit to the clerk of court and serve on the plaintiff and all other parties, a Case Management Track Designation Form specifying the track to which that defendant believes the case should be assigned.							
SELECT ONE OF THE FOI	LOWING CASE M	ANAGEMENT TRACKS:					
(a) Habeas Corpus – Cases brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 through § 2255.							
(b) Social Security – Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits.							
(c) Arbitration – Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2. ()							
(d) Asbestos – Cases involving claims for personal injury or property damage from exposure to asbestos.							
(e) Special Management – Cases that do not fall into tracks (a) through (d) that are commonly referred to as complex and that need special or intense management by the court. (See reverse side of this form for a detailed explanation of special management cases.)							
(f) Standard Management – Cases that do not fall into any one of the other tracks.							
(V							
7/31/17 Date	Graham F. Attorney-at-law	Baid <u>Lysty Pride</u> Attorney for	?				
267-546-0131	215-923-595	-1 grahamb@er	icshore.com				
Telephone	FAX Number	E-Mail Address					
(Civ. 6:0) 10/02		1 : 9 ₆					

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM assignment to appropriate calendar.	to be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of						
Address of Plaintiff: 437 West St. Luke Street, Ph	iladelphia PA 19140						
Address of Defendant: 3901 Whitzer Avenue: Prila							
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: 437 West St. Luke Street, Philadelphia PA 19140 (Use Reverse Side For Additional Space)							
Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation	420						
(Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1	(a)) Yes No D						
Does this case involve multidistrict litigation passibilities?	Yesu No						
RELATED CASE, IF ANY:	1632 1402						
Case Number: Judge	Date Terminated:						
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions:							
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one	e year previously terminated action in this court?						
	Voc No No						
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a pricaction in this court?	or suit pending or within one year previously terminated						
1. D	Yes□ No□						
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlied terminated action in this court?							
	Ycs□ No□						
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rig	ghts case filed by the same individual?						
	Ycs□ No□						
CIVIL: (Place ✓ in one category only)							
A. Federal Question Cases:	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:						
1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts	1. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts						
2. □ FELA	2. □ Airplane Personal Injury						
3. □ Jones Act-Personal Injury	3. □ Assault, Defamation						
4. □ Antitrust	4. □ Marine Personal Injury						
5. □ Patent	5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury						
6. Labor-Management Relations	6. Other Personal Injury (Please specify)						
7. Civil Rights	7. Products Liability						
8. Habeas Corpus	8. Products Liability — Asbestos						
9. □ Securities Act(s) Cases	9. □ All other Diversity Cases						
10. D Social Security Review Cases	(Please specify)						
11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify)							
ARBITRATION CER' (Check Appropriate of counsel of record do hereby cert	Category) tify:						
Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Sertian 3(e)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs;	d belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of						
Relief other than monetary damages is sought.	es ⁴						
DATE: 7/31/17 My 54/	92692						
Attorncy-at-Law							
NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if the	Attorney I.D.# nere has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.						
certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or	r within one year previously terminated action in this court						
except as noted above.	/)						
DATE: 7/31/17	(/ 9a69a						
Attorney-at-Law	Attorney I.D.#						

CIV. 609 (5/2012)

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

Krystal Pride 437 West St Luke Street Philadelphia, PA 19140

JURY DEMANDED

Plaintiff,

v.

No.

City of Philadelphia 3901 Whitaker Avenue Philadelphia, PA 19124

And

City of Philadelphia Police Department 3901 Whitaker Avenue Philadelphia, PA 19124

And

Javier Rodriguez individually and in his official capacity as Captain for City of Philadelphia Police Department 25th District 3901 Whitaker Avenue Philadelphia, PA 19124

And

John Doe Police Sergeant No. 1 individually and in his official capacity as a police sergeant for City of Philadelphia Police Department 25th District 3901 Whitaker Avenue Philadelphia, PA 19124

And

John Doe Police Officer No. 1: individually and: in his official capacity as a police officer for: City of Philadelphia Police Department: 25th District: 3901 Whitaker Avenue: Philadelphia, PA 19124:

And

John Doe Defendants Nos. 1-10

Defendants

CIVIL ACTION COMPLAINT

I. Parties and Reasons for Jurisdiction.

- 1. Plaintiff, Krystal Pride (hereinafter "Plaintiff") is an adult individual residing at the above address.
- 2. Defendant, City of Philadelphia is a municipal entity in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and at all times relevant hereto operated under the color of state law in creating and maintaining a Police Department and Code Enforcement Department was the employer of all named Defendants and had the responsibility of adopting policies, implementing procedures and practices which would create an environment whereby citizens would be safe from police abuse.
- 3. Defendant, City of Philadelphia Police Department is a municipal agency, owned, operated and managed by Defendant City of Philadelphia with a principal place of business at the above captioned address.
- 4. Defendant, Javier Rodriguez is, and at all times material hereto, the Captain for the 25th District for the City of Philadelphia acting under color of state law in his individual capacity as well as in his official capacity as a Captain for the City of Philadelphia and City of

Philadelphia Police Department. He is being sued herein, in both his individual and official capacities.

- 5. Defendant, John Doe Police Sergeant No. 1 is, and at all times material hereto, a sergeant with the 25th District for the City of Philadelphia acting under color of state law in his individual capacity as well as in his official capacity as a sergeant for the City of Philadelphia and City of Philadelphia Police Department. He is being sued herein, in both his individual and official capacities.
- 6. Defendant John Doe Police Officer No. 1 is, and at all times material hereto, a police officer for the City of Philadelphia and the City of Philadelphia Police Department, and was acting under color of state law. He is being sued herein, in his individual capacity.
- 7. Defendants, John Does Nos. 2-20 are officials, police officers and/or other public officials acting under color of state law who were involved in the following incident set forth in Plaintiff's complaint and at all times material hereto were acting under color of state law and are being sued in their individual and official capacities.
 - 8. Jurisdiction is conferred by 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1343.
- 9. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(1) and (b)(2), venue is properly laid in this district because Defendants conduct business in this district, and because a substantial part of the acts and/or omissions giving rise to the claims set forth herein occurred in this judicial district.

II. Operative Facts.

- 10. On April 1, 2016, at approximately 12pm, Plaintiff was lawfully in her home at 437 West St Luke Street in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.
- 11. Plaintiff and her four (4) year old niece were in the bathroom, undressed and preparing to take a shower.

- 12. Plaintiff had the shower running and she was waiting for the water to warm up.
- 13. At this point, Plaintiff heard a loud banging on her front door.
- 14. Plaintiff left her niece, hurriedly put on a shirt and pants, and ran to the front door.
- 15. When Plaintiff opened the door, she observed a police vehicle pulling away from the corner of her block.
- 16. The police vehicle immediately reversed into a parking spot, and two male police officers (Defendants John Does Nos. 2 and 3) of the Defendant Police Department named above jumped out and ran to Plaintiff's front door.
- 17. Without any justifiable reason and without provocation, the above named Defendant John Doe Police Officers Nos. 2 and 3 began repeatedly yelling at Plaintiff "why didn't you open the door?" "Didn't you hear us?"
- 18. Plaintiff explained that she was in the bathroom, with the shower running, was naked so she came to the door as quickly as possible.
- 19. Defendant Police Officers John Does Nos. 2 and 3 never identified themselves to Plaintiff.
- 20. Defendant Police Officers John Does Nos. 2 and 3 asked Plaintiff, "Where is Demetrius?" Defendants were presumably referring to Demetrius Pride, Plaintiff's younger brother who does not reside at her home.
- 21. Plaintiff responded that she was not sure of Demetrius's whereabouts, and asked why the officers wanted to speak with him.
- 22. Defendant Police Officers John Does Nos. 2 and 3 never explained why they were searching for Mr. Pride.

- 23. Defendant Police Officers John Does Nos. 2 and 3 were standing on Plaintiff's porch, a few feet away from her, while she stood in her doorway.
- 24. Defendant Police Officers John Does Nos. 2 and 3 asked Plaintiff step outside on to the porch.
- 25. Plaintiff explained that she did not feel comfortable coming outside because she was on her menstrual cycle, and was bleeding with no additional clothing or sanitary pad underneath her pants.
- 26. Plaintiff further asked Defendant Police Officers John Does Nos. 2 and 3 if she could go back inside to change clothes.
- 27. Defendant Police Officers John Does Nos. 2 and 3 demanded that Plaintiff step out onto the porch next to them and she obliged.
- 28. Plaintiff asked if the officers had a warrant, to which Police Officers John Does Nos. 2 and 3 responded that they did not have a warrant.
- 29. At that point Plaintiff informed them that her 4 year old niece was in the bathroom unattended and the shower was still running. Plaintiff then asked to go back inside to check on her niece.
- 30. Defendant Police Officers John Does Nos. 2 and 3 ordered Plaintiff she was not allowed back inside.
- 31. Plaintiff asked the officers if she was under arrest, to which they responded that she was not under arrest.
- 32. Defendant Police Officers John Does Nos. 2 and 3 instructed Plaintiff to sit down on the steps in front of the house.

- 33. After a few minutes, Plaintiff's niece began crying and knocking on the closed door from inside the home.
- 34. Plaintiff and Defendant Police Officers John Does Nos. 2 and 3 could hear that the child was in distress.
- 35. Plaintiff again asked if she could go inside to either get her niece, or at least reassure her that everything was alright.
- 36. Defendants Police Officers John Does Nos. 2 and 3 insisted that Plaintiff was not to stand up or go inside the house.
- 37. Plaintiff believed she was required comply, so she remained seated on the steps while her niece continued crying and knocking, and several neighbors gathered around to watch.
- 38. After about one hour, four (4) additional male officers (Defendants John Does Nos. 3-6), also from the City of Philadelphia Defendant Police Department, arrived at the scene. One of the John Doe Defendant Police Officers was in plain clothes, while the remaining three were in their official uniforms.
- 39. By this time, Plaintiff's pants were wet with menstrual blood and she had been bleeding through her clothes on the porch. Plaintiff's niece was still crying, screaming for her "Aunty", and knocking on the door. Plaintiff was also visibly upset and crying.
- 40. Plaintiff again asked if she could go inside because she was soaked in blood, her niece was unattended, and the child was in distress.
- 41. The six (6) male John Doe Defendant Police Officers refused to allow Plaintiff inside, and continued to badger her, demanding entrance into her home without a search warrant.

- 42. Sometime between 3pm and 4pm, Plaintiff told John Doe Defendant Police Officers that she needed to get cleaned up and dressed because she was expected at work by 7pm.
- 43. Defendant Police Officers asked Plaintiff where she worked and she replied that she worked with the City of Philadelphia as an emergency medical technician.
- 44. The John Doe Defendant Police Officer No. 3 in plain clothes threatened to have Plaintiff terminated from her job.
 - 45. Plaintiff asked to be allowed inside her home because she was not under arrest.
- 46. When John Doe Defendant Police Officers Nos. 1-6 again refused her request, Plaintiff requested a sergeant.
- 47. At approximately 4pm, John Doe Defendant Police Sergeant No. 1 arrived at the scene.
- 48. John Doe Defendant Police Sergeant No. 1 told Plaintiff "it will be a lot easier to let [them] inside the house."
- 49. At that point, after her niece had been crying, Plaintiff bleeding through her pants and sitting and standing outside for over one (1) hour, Plaintiff gave Defendant Police Officers permission to enter her home.
- 50. When John Doe Defendants Police Officers opened the door, Plaintiff's niece was standing in front of the door terrified, naked and screaming.
 - 51. John Doe Defendant Police Officers Nos. 1-6 then ransacked Plaintiff's home.
- 52. Desendants John Doe Police Officers arrested Demetrius Pride and took him away.

- 53. Subsequently, Plaintiff was allowed back into her home and John Doe Defendant Police Sergeant No. 1 apologized to Plaintiff saying "this shouldn't have happened".
 - 54. Demetrius Pride was released from police custody less than one hour later.
 - 55. Mr. Pride was not charged with any criminal offense.
 - 56. No one else was charged with any offense as a result of the incident.
- 57. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' conduct Plaintiff sustained personal injury, pain and suffering, violations of her constitutional rights, deprivation of her liberty and property interests, as well emotional distress, humiliation, and other damages as set forth below.

III. Causes of Action.

COUNT I – 4th AMENDMENT--MALICIOUS PROSECUTION (42 U.S.C.A. § 1983 et seq) (Plaintiff v. Defendants and John Doe Defendants, Nos. 1-10)

- 58. Plaintiff incorporates paragraphs 1-57 as if fully set forth at length herein.
- 59. At all times described above, Defendants were acting under color of state law.
- 60. As described above, Defendants stopped and detained Plaintiff for approximately four (4) hours against her will.
 - 61. As described above, Defendants' conduct constituted a seizure of the Plaintiff.
 - 62. As described above, Defendants acted with malice.
 - 63. No criminal charges were filed against the Plaintiff.
- 64. As a proximate result of Defendants' conduct, Plaintiff sustained significant damages, including but not limited to: great economic loss, violation to her constitutional rights, emotional distress, mental anguish, humiliation, pain and suffering and consequential damages.

- 65. As a result of the conduct of Defendants' owners/management, Plaintiff hereby demands punitive damages.
 - 66. Pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §1983, Plaintiff demands attorneys fees and court costs.

COUNT II – 4th AMENDMENT--WRONGFUL ARREST (42 U.S.C.A. § 1983 et seq) (Plaintiff v. Defendants and John Doe Defendants, Nos. 1-10)

- 67. Plaintiff incorporates paragraphs 1-66 as if fully set forth at length herein.
- 68. At all times material hereto, Defendants were acting under color of state law.
- 69. As described above, Defendants stopped and detained Plaintiff without reasonable suspicion or probable cause that she committed a criminal offense.
 - 70. No charges were ever filed against the Plaintiff.
- 71. As a proximate result of Defendants' conduct, Plaintiff sustained significant damages, including but not limited to: great economic loss, violation of her constitutional rights, as well as emotional distress, mental anguish, humiliation, pain and suffering and consequential damages.
- 72. As a result of the conduct of Defendants' owners/management, Plaintiff hereby demands punitive damages.
 - 73. Pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §1983, Plaintiff demands attorneys fees and court costs.

COUNT III -UNLAWFUL SEIZURE (Pennsylvania State Law Claims) (Plaintiff v. Defendants and John Doe Defendants, Nos. 1-10)

- 74. Plaintiff incorporates paragraphs 1-73 as if fully set forth at length herein.
- 75. At all times described above, Defendants were acting under color of state law.
- 76. As described above, Defendants stopped and detained Plaintiff against her will for several hours.

- 77. As described above, Defendants acted with malice.
- 78. No charges were ever filed against the Plaintiff.
- 79. As a proximate result of Defendants' conduct, Plaintiff sustained significant damages, including but not limited to: great economic loss, violation of her constitutional rights, as well as emotional distress, mental anguish, humiliation, pain and suffering and consequential damages.
- 80. As a result of the conduct of Defendants' owners/management, Plaintiff hereby demands punitive damages.
 - 81. Plaintiff demands attorneys fees and court costs.

COUNT IV --WRONGFUL ARREST (Pennsylvania State Law Claims) (Plaintiff v. Defendants and John Doe Defendants, Nos. 1-10)

- 82. Plaintiff incorporates paragraphs 1-81 as if fully set forth at length herein.
- 83. At all times material hereto, Defendants were acting under color of state law.
- 84. As described above, Defendants stopped and detained Plaintiff without reasonable suspicion or probable cause that she committed a criminal offense.
 - 85. No criminal charges were filed against the Plaintiff.
- 86. As a proximate result of Defendants' conduct, Plaintiff sustained significant damages, including but not limited to: great economic loss, violation of her constitutional rights, as well as emotional distress, mental anguish, humiliation, pain and suffering and consequential damages.
- 87. As a result of the conduct of Defendants' owners/management, Plaintiff hereby demands punitive damages.
 - 88. Plaintiff demands attorneys fees and court costs.

COUNT V —MONELL CLAIM (42 U.S.C.A. § 1983 et seq) (Plaintiff v. Defendants and John Doe Defendants, Nos. 1-10)

- 89. Plaintiff incorporates paragraphs 1-88 as if fully set forth at length herein.
- 90. At all times material hereto, Defendants instituted policies and procedures within the police department with indifference to the constitutional rights of the citizens of Philadelphia, including, but not limited to Plaintiff.
- 91. Those policies and procedures include creating and fostering an environment through failures to train and hire suitable police officers and a failure to supervise those officers.
- 92. As a proximate result of Defendants' policies and procedures, Plaintiff sustained significant damages, including but not limited to: personal injury, violation to her constitutional rights, as well as emotional distress, mental anguish, humiliation, pain and suffering and consequential damages.
- 93. As a result of the Defendants' conduct, Plaintiff hereby demands punitive damages.
 - 94. Plaintiff demands attorneys fees and court costs.

IV. Relief Requested.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Krystal Pride demands judgment in her favor and against all Defendants jointly and severally, in an amount in excess of \$150,000.00 together with:

- A. Compensatory damages, including but not limited to: personal injury damages, deprivation of constitutional rights, injury to reputation, mental and emotional distress, pain and suffering, economic loss, deprivation of her liberty and property interests,
- B. Punitive damages;

- C. Attorneys fees and costs of suit;
- D. Interest, delay damages; and,
- E. Any other further relief this Court deems just proper and equitable.

LAW OFFICES OF ERIC A. SHORE; P.C.

BY:

GRAHAM F. BAIRD, ESQUIRE

Two Penn Center

1500 JFK Boulevard, Suite 1240

Philadelphia, PA 19102

Attorney for Plaintiff, Krystal Pride

Date: 7/31/17